Mr. SPEAKER.—The matter is sub judice. Can't the member understand that by any comment by the Government or anybody the investigation gets a certain percentage of purity, or impurity? I do not want anything to be done like that. Suppose the delay is admitted, investigation gets filtered. It is sub judice. I am not allowing any discussion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾದಯ್ಯ ಗೌಡ (ರಾಮನಗರ).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ ಈ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಂತಿ ಭಂಗ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದಂತೆ ಪೋಲೀಸಿನವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಪ್ರೊಟೆಕ್ಷನ್ನನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿಂದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬರಿಗೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೆ ಪ್ಯಾಜ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ, ಇದನ್ನು ನಿವಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲು ತಕ್ಕ ಪೋಲೀಸು ಬಂದೋಬಸ್ತು ಇದೆಯೇ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I made it clear that protection is given to the girl and relatives and all other persons who are likely to be again disturbed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಗೂಂಡಾಗಳು ಎಂದು ಯಾರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದರೋ ಅವರುಗಳಿಂದಲೇ ಪದೇ ಪದೇ ಈ ಊರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಪ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಗಳಾಗಿ ಅವುಗಳ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ನರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

(ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ).

Mr. SPEAKER.—That directly affects the accused!

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—It cannot be allowed, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble member must advise Sri K. Lakkappa off and on.

THIRTY-THIRD REPORT OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI (Deputy Speaker).—Sir, I beg to present the Thirty-third Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In view of what transpired yesterday, I called an urgent meeting of the Business Advisory Committee and the Report is circulated. I suppose the House agrees with the Report. The necessity for an urgent meeting arose like this. Even in the Circular letter and the Report that was previously presented, it was mentioned that in order to facilitate the discussion on the Resolution relating to the Revenue Settlement, perhaps in response to the criticism on the Floor of the House and other matters which have been represented in various ways to the Government, that certain amendment was deemed necessary. That was mentioned more than once a few days back, not even yesterday. It was necessary not by mere mentioning, but by bringing an amending Bill. The amending Bill was published, it was introduced yesterday; it was almost with the consent that it was to be discussed. There is one further point to be borne in mind. Unless that Bill is taken, discussed and passed by this House, it cannot go to the other House. The Bill has to go to the other House for its discussion and when it gets concurrence, it has to go to higher authorities or whomsoever for assent. It has to undergo an effective discussion. Final conclusion

(MR. SPEAKER)

can take place after that. That is why the Business Advisory Committee considered deeply and decided that in to-day's business that Bill might be taken up first and after that is over, the demand made by Members in large number to admit more time for discussion of the Land Reforms Bill could also be dealt with. So, I propose to take this Bill and then allow the other Bill because Members are very anxious and I have also been feeling that such important matters must have a fuller discussion. So, the rest of the time and the whole of to-morrow will be devoted for the Land Reforms Bill only.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ಈ ಅಡ್ವೈಜರಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಏನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ವಾರದಿಂದ ನೋಡುತ್ತ ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾದ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನೂ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇವೊತ್ತಿನ ಅಜೆಂಡಾವನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲ ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಮುಗಿಸಿದರೆ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ತೊಂದರೆಯೂ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಇದನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಅದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರವಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಂದಿರುವ ಅಡ್ವೈಜರಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಒಂದು ರೀತಿಯಾದ ಅಜೆಂಡಾ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಯೊಳಗೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ಜಗಳಗಳಿಂದಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಂ ಕೂಡ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ, ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಂನ್ನು ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಇದ್ದುದನ್ನು ಹಿಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇವೊತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡು ರಿಫಾರಂಸ್ ಆಕ್ಟು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರು. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಅಮೆಂಡುಮೆಂಟು ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವು ತಯಾರಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅದನ್ನು ಓದಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಸಿಪೇಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವನ್ನು ವ್ಯಕ್ತ ಪಡಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI.—I beg to move :

"That this House agrees with the 33rd Report of the Business Advisory Committee."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before I put it to the House I want to clarify the position.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—Is it the opinion of the Speaker that unless this Bill is passed, the Land Revenue Act cannot be taken up or unless it becomes an Act this Bill cannot be taken up ? I do not know the difference between the two. I can very well understand that unless this becomes an Act, the resolution cannot become effective. Even if it is passed here, it will have to go to the other House, then to the Governor and then possibly to the President.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—All these matters have been thoroughly considered. The amendment before the House has to be passed and in order to facilitate that this resolution has to be moved.

Mr. SPEAKER.— The Speaker cannot have opinions or intentions in these matters. The Speaker is concerned with arranging the Business

of the House. I am saying this because somebody mentioned to me that I am interested in Panchayat Raj Bill. I have not read it and I do not propose to do it unless it is necessary for me to assist the House. I want to wipe out the impression in the minds of members that the Speaker has any interest, intention, or association of ideas with regard to any of the Bills. All that I am concerned is that the Business before the House is transacted properly. Yesterday when the discussions were over, is was perfectly open to me to put it to the House. But because there it the Business Advisory Committee, I sommoned an emergent meeting and caused so much of incovenience to the members. They were good enough to respond to my invitation and deliberated up on the matters. As a result, I came 7 minutes late to the House and I apologised. I am only trying to observe the rules and see that there is no voilation of the rules either by me or by anybody regardless of the party to which he belongs. Sri Annarao was pleased to say that for the Bill to become law much time would be required. The Speaker is not interested in it. The member can understand things as he likes. Sri Annarao knows much better than me. He was in the Cabinet for a longer time than I.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—You were pleased to remark that unless this is passed, the resolution cannot be passed or its passage facilitated.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is not my concern. My concern is that whatever is to be done, is done properly. I am going to disturb the normal schedule, that is, continuation of the discussion on the Land Reforms Bill. Sri K. Lakkappa is right in saying that he bestowed all attention on the previous Bill and he finds himself in an unhappy situation. In democracy, we have to go by the majority vote and everybody's wishes cannot be satisfied.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—But the minorities must be safeguarded.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It should not become a tyranny of the minority over the majority.

The question is :

" That this House agrees with the 33rd Report of the Business Advisory Committee".

The motion was adopted.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I beg to lay on the Table the Appropriation Accounts of the Government of Mysore for the Year 1963-64 and the Audit Report 1965 in pursuance of Clause (2) of Article 151 of the Constitution of India.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Shravanabelagola).—I want to know whether the report should be discussed in the House.